arXiv:1407.5916v1 [math.RA] 22 Jul 2014

ANOTHER PROOF OF A THEOREM OF VAN DEN BERGH ABOUT GRADED-INJECTIVE MODULES

AMNON YEKUTIELI

Suppose $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ is a left noetherian $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring. The category of left $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-modules is denoted by $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$. Recall that the objects of $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$ are the left graded A-modules $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$, and the morphisms are the A-linear homomorphisms of degree 0. A *graded-injective module* is an injective object I in the abelian category $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$.

The following result is stated as a "pleasant exercise in homological algebra" in [VdB]. Its proof was communicated to us privately by M. Van den Bergh many years ago, and we referred to it as "quite involved" in [YZ1, Remark 4.9]. The purpose of this note is to give a modified proof of this result.

Theorem 1 (Van den Bergh)**.** *Assume A is a left noetherian $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring. Let I be a graded-injective left A-module. Then the injective dimension of I in the ungraded sense is at most* 1.

Actually we prove a slightly more general result (Theorem 12), of which Theorem 1 is a special case. As far as we recall, this was also proved by Van den Bergh.

Our proof follows the same strategy as the original proof by Van den Bergh, namely passing through the Rees ring. However our proof is somewhat more conceptual, in that it isolates the precise "reason" for the dimension jump (see Lemma 6, and compare it to Example 2 below). The downside of our proof is that is uses derived categories.

The dimension jump can already be seen in the next easy commutative example.

Example 2. Suppose $\Bbbk$ is a field and $A = \Bbbk[t]$, the polynomial ring in the variable t, that has degree 1. The injective A-modules (in the ungraded sense, i.e. in the category $\mathsf{Mod}\, A$) are direct sums of indecomposable A-modules. The indecomposable injectives are the field of fractions $I(0) \cong \Bbbk(t)$, and the torsion modules $I(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \Bbbk(t)/A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of A.

In the category $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$ there are two indecomposable injective objects, up to Serre twist: the module $I(\mathfrak{m}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{m}_0 := (t)$ is the unique graded maximal ideal of A; and the graded ring of fractions $J := \Bbbk[t, t^{-1}]$. The latter is not injective in $\mathsf{Mod}\, A$; but it has injective dimension 1.

Observe that projective modules do not have a jump in dimension:

Proposition 3. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring. If P is a graded-projective left A-module, then P is also a projective A-module in the ungraded sense.*

Date: 22 July 2014.

Proof. Recall that a graded-free A-module is a graded module $Q \cong \bigoplus_{x \in X} A(n_x)$, where X is some indexing set, $n_x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $A(n_x)$ is the Serre twist of A by the integer n_x; namely $A(n_x)$ is free on a generator in degree $-n_x$.

We can find a surjection $Q \to P$ in $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$ from such a graded-free module Q. Since P is graded-projective, this surjection splits in $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$, so we get an isomorphism $Q \cong P \oplus P'$. But Q is free as an ungraded A-module, and hence P is projective as an ungraded A-module, □

Remark 4. We do not know if the noetherian condition in Theorem 1 is necessary.

For the proof of Theorem 1 (and Theorem 12) we need some technical tools, that we take from [YZ1, YZ2]. For the rest of the paper A is a left noetherian $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring. By default all A-modules here are left A-modules. Let M, N be graded A-modules. A function $\phi : M \to N$ is said to be an A-linear homomorphism of degree i if for all $m \in M_j$ and $a \in A$ we have $\phi(m) \subset N_{i+j}$ and $\phi(a \cdot m) = a \cdot \phi(m)$. The set of all such homomorphisms is denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}_A^{\mathrm{gr}}(M, N)_i$. And we let

$$\operatorname{Hom}_A^{\mathrm{gr}}(M, N) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_A^{\mathrm{gr}}(M, N)_i,$$

which is a graded abelian group. Thus

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{GrMod}\, A}(M, N) = \operatorname{Hom}_A^{\mathrm{gr}}(M, N)_0.$$

We can view A as a filtered ring, with filtration $F = \{F_i(A)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $F_i(A) := \bigoplus_{j \leq i} A_j$. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the Rees ring associated to this filtration. Thus

$$\tilde{A} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i(A) \cdot t^i \subset A[t] = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[t], \tag{5}$$

where t is a variable of degree 1. There is an isomorphism of graded rings $\tilde{A}/t \cdot \tilde{A} \cong A$, and an isomorphism of filtered rings $\tilde{A}/(t-1) \cdot \tilde{A} \cong A$. According to [ATV, Theorem 8.2] the ring $\tilde{A}$ is left noetherian.

Given $\tilde{M} \in \mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}$, let $\operatorname{sp}_0(\tilde{M}) := \tilde{M}/t \cdot \tilde{M}$, which is a graded A-module. This is an additive functor (specialization)

$$\operatorname{sp}_0 : \mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A} \to \mathsf{GrMod}\, A.$$

Likewise the operation $\operatorname{sp}_1(\tilde{M}) := \tilde{M}/(t-1) \cdot \tilde{M}$ is an additive functor

$$\operatorname{sp}_1 : \mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A} \to \mathsf{Mod}\, A.$$

According to [YZ1, Lemma 6.3(1)] the functor sp_1 is exact.

Let $M \in \mathsf{Mod}\, A$. Suppose $F = \{F_i(M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a filtration on M that's compatible with the filtration of A. This means that each $F_i(M)$ is an abelian subgroup of M, $\bigcap_i F_i(M) = 0$, $\bigcup_i F_i(M) = M$, and $F_i(A) \cdot F_j(M) \subset F_{i+j}(M)$. Then the Rees module

$$\operatorname{rs}^F(M) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i(M) \cdot t^i \subset M[t, t^{-1}] = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$$

is a graded module over the ring $\tilde{A} = \mathrm{rs}^F(A)$. There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{sp}_0(\mathrm{rs}^F(M)) \cong \mathrm{gr}^F(M)$ in $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$, and a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{sp}_1(\mathrm{rs}^F(M)) \cong M$ in $\mathsf{Mod}\, A$.

A graded A-module $M = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M_i$ has a filtration $F = \{F_i(M)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $F_i(M) := \bigoplus_{j\leq i} M_j$. The corresponding Rees module is denoted by $\mathrm{rs}(M) := \mathrm{rs}^F(M)$. Thus we get a functor

$$\mathrm{rs} : \mathsf{GrMod}\, A \to \mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}.$$

This functor is exact. There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{sp}_0(\mathrm{rs}^F(M)) \cong M$ in $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$.

For an abelian category M we have the (unbounded) derived category $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M})$. In it there are the full subcategories $\mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{M})$ and $\mathsf{D}^-(\mathsf{M})$, whose objects are the complexes with bounded below and bounded above cohomologies, respectively. The category of complexes of objects of M is $\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{M})$. There is a localization functor $\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{M}) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{M})$, which is the identity on objects, and inverts quasi-isomorphisms. We shall consider these abelian categories: $\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}$, $\mathsf{GrMod}\, A$ and $\mathsf{Mod}\, A$.

The exact functors sp_1 and rs extend to triangulated functors

$$\mathrm{sp}_1 : \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\, A)$$

and

$$\mathrm{rs} : \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, A) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}).$$

There is a left derived functor

$$\mathrm{Lsp}_0 : \mathsf{D}^-(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}) \to \mathsf{D}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, A).$$

We use graded-projective resolutions to construct it. The right derived functors

$$\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_A(-,-) : \mathsf{D}^-(\mathsf{GrMod}\, A)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{GrMod}\, A) \to \mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}(-,-) : \mathsf{D}^-(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A})^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A}) \to \mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z})$$

are constructed either by graded-projective resolutions of the first argument, or by graded-injective resolutions of the second argument.

The key to the dimension jump is the next lemma, that reduces the question to the graded ring $\mathbb{Z}[t]$. Observe that there is an obvious graded ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[t] \to \tilde{A}$, and the element t is not a zero divisor in $\tilde{A}$ (cf. formula (5).

Lemma 6. *The functor* Lsp_0 *has cohomological dimension* ≤ 1. *More precisely, if the cohomology of* $\tilde{M} \in \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A})$ *is concentrated in degrees* $\{i_0, \ldots, i_1\}$, *then the cohomology of* $\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M})$ *is concentrated in degrees* $\{i_0 - 1, i_0, \ldots, i_1\}$.

Proof. Recall that we constructed $\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M})$ by choosing a resolution $\tilde{P} \to \tilde{M}$, where $\tilde{P}$ is a bounded above complex of graded-projective $\tilde{A}$-modules, and letting $\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M}) := \mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P})$. Since t is not a zero divisor in $\tilde{A}$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \tilde{P}(-1) \xrightarrow{t\cdot} \tilde{P} \to \mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P}) \to 0 \tag{7}$$

in $\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A})$.

Let $\tilde{Q} \in \mathsf{C}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z}[t])$ be the semi-free complex

$$\tilde{Q} := \big(\mathbb{Z}[t](-1) \xrightarrow{t\cdot} \mathbb{Z}[t]\big)$$

concentrated in cohomological degrees -1 and 0. Using equation (7) we see that there are quasi-isomorphisms

$$\tilde{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t]} \tilde{M} \leftarrow \tilde{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t]} \tilde{P} \to \mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P})$$

in $\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z}[t])$. The bounds on the cohomology of the complex $\tilde{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t]} \tilde{M}$ are clear. □

Lemma 8. *Take $\tilde{M} \in \mathsf{D}^-_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A})$ and $N \in \mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{GrMod}\, A)$. There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}\big(\tilde{M}, \mathrm{rs}(N)\big) \cong \mathrm{rs}\big(\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M}), N)\big)$$

in $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z}[t])$. It is functorial in $\tilde{M}$ and N.

Proof. Any such $\tilde{M}$ admits a quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{P} \to \tilde{M}$, where $\tilde{P}$ is a bounded above complex of finite rank graded-free $\tilde{A}$-modules. There are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}\big(\tilde{M}, \mathrm{rs}(N)\big) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}\big(\tilde{P}, \mathrm{rs}(N)\big) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\mathrm{rs}\big(\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M}), N) \cong \mathrm{rs}\big(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P}), N) \tag{10}$$

in $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z}[t])$, functorial in $\tilde{P}$. We use the fact that $\mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P})$ is a bounded above complex of finite rank graded-free A-modules.

If $\tilde{P}$ is a single graded-free $\tilde{A}$-module of rank 1, i.e. $\tilde{P} \cong \tilde{A}(i)$ for some integer i, and if N is a single graded A-module, then there are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}\big(\tilde{P}, \mathrm{rs}(N)\big) \cong \mathrm{rs}(N)(-i) \cong \mathrm{rs}(N(-i))$$

and

$$\mathrm{rs}\big(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P}), N)\big) \cong \mathrm{rs}\big(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(A(i), N)\big) \cong \mathrm{rs}(N(-i))$$

in $\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Since these isomorphisms are functorial in the modules $\tilde{P}$ and N, we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}\big(\tilde{P}, \mathrm{rs}(N)\big) \cong \mathrm{rs}\big(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{sp}_0(\tilde{P}), N)\big)$$

in $\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \mathbb{Z}[t])$ for any bounded above complex of finite rank graded-free $\tilde{A}$-modules $\tilde{P}$, and any bounded below complex of graded A-modules N. Finally we use the isomorphisms (9) and (10). □

Lemma 11. *Let $\tilde{M} \in \mathsf{D}^-_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A})$ and $\tilde{N} \in \mathsf{D}^+(\mathsf{GrMod}\, \tilde{A})$. There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{sp}_1\big(\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{N})\big) \cong \mathrm{RHom}_A\big(\mathrm{sp}_1(M), \mathrm{sp}_1(N)\big)$$

in $\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\, \mathbb{Z})$. It is functorial in $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{N}$.

Proof. This is [YZ1, Lemma 6.3(3)]. The statement there talks about complexes $\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}$ in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathsf{GrMod}\,\tilde{A})$; but the proof (the way-out argument) works also for the slightly weaker assumptions that we have here. □

For a graded A-module N we denote by $\mathrm{gr.inj.dim}(N)$ its injective dimension in $\mathsf{GrMod}\,A$. Thus I is graded-injective iff $\mathrm{gr.inj.dim}(I) = 0$. Likewise, for an A-module N we denote by $\mathrm{inj.dim}(N)$ its injective dimension in $\mathsf{Mod}\,A$.

Theorem 12 (Van den Bergh)**.** *Assume A is a left noetherian $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring. For any graded A-module N we have*

$$\mathrm{inj.dim}(N) \leq \mathrm{gr.inj.dim}(N) + 1.$$

Proof. Take a graded A-module N, and let $d := \mathrm{gr.inj.dim}(N)$. We may assume that $d < \infty$. It is enough to prove that $\mathrm{Ext}^q_A(M, N) = 0$ for every $q > d + 1$ and every finitely generated A-module M.

Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Let F be a good filtration on M, namely a filtration such that the graded module $\mathrm{gr}^F(M)$ is finitely generated over A. Such filtrations exist by [MR, Lemma 7.6.11]. Then (by the graded Nakayama Lemma) the Rees module $\tilde{M} := \mathrm{rs}^F(M)$ is finitely generated over $\tilde{A}$.

Consider the graded $\tilde{A}$-module $\tilde{N} := \mathrm{rs}(N)$. Since $M \cong \mathrm{sp}_1(\tilde{M})$ and $N \cong \mathrm{sp}_1(\tilde{N})$ in $\mathsf{Mod}\,A$, Lemma 11 says that it is enough to prove that

$$\mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{N})) = 0$$

for all $q > d + 1$. By Lemma 8 this is equivalent to proving that

$$\mathrm{H}^q\big(\mathrm{RHom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M}), N)\big) = 0$$

for $q > d + 1$. Let us take a quasi-isomorphism $N \to I$ in $\mathsf{GrMod}\,A$, such that I is a complex of graded-injective modules concentrated in degrees $\{0, \ldots, d\}$. We have to prove that

$$\mathrm{H}^q\big(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{A}(\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M}), I)\big) = 0$$

for $q > d+1$. For that is suffices to show that $\mathrm{H}^p(\mathrm{Lsp}_0(\tilde{M})) = 0$ for $p < -1$. This is true by Lemma 6. □

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank Michel Van den Bergh for telling me (a long time ago) about his result and its original proof. Thanks also to Rishi Vyas for reading an earlier version of this note and correcting several mistakes.

References

[ATV] M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves, in "The Grothendieck Festschrift", Vol. I, pp. 33-85, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.

[MR] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, "Noncommutative Noetherian Rings," Wiley, 1987.

[VdB] M. Van den Bergh, Existence theorems for dualizing complexes over non-commutative graded and filtered rings, J. Algebra **195** (1997), 662-679.

[YZ1] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Rings with Auslander Dualizing Complexes, Journal of Algebra **213** (1999), 1-51.

[YZ2] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, Dualizing complexes and perverse modules over differential algebras, Compositio Math. **141** (2005), 620-654.

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel
E-mail address: amyekut@math.bgu.ac.il